

University Development Studies 2013 Cut Off Points Document

University development studies 2013 cut off points have been a significant reference for prospective students and education analysts seeking to understand the admission landscape of that year. Cut off points serve as critical benchmarks in university admissions, indicating the minimum grades required for students to secure a spot in specific courses. For students aspiring to enroll in development studies programs, understanding these cut off points from 2013 provides insight into the competitiveness and academic standards of that period. This article offers an in-depth overview of the 2013 cut off points for university development studies courses, their implications, and how they compare to current standards.

Understanding University Cut Off Points

What Are Cut Off Points?

Cut off points are the minimum scores or grades that a student must attain in their national examinations or standardized tests to qualify for admission into particular university courses. These points are determined based on various factors, including the number of applicants, their academic performance, and the availability of seats.

In Kenya, for example, the Kenya Certificate of Secondary Education (KCSE) results are used to determine these cut off points. Universities analyze the KCSE scores and set thresholds for their courses, ensuring they admit students who meet the academic standards required for successful program completion.

Why Are Cut Off Points Important?

Cut off points serve multiple purposes:

- **Guidance for Applicants:** They help students understand the minimum requirements needed to qualify for their preferred courses.
- **Institutional Planning:** Universities use them to manage the number of admitted students and ensure quality standards.
- **Academic Benchmarking:** They reflect the competitiveness of a course and the academic caliber of admitted students.

Overview of 2013 Cut Off Points for Development Studies

Context of 2013 Academic Year

The 2013 academic year was marked by a competitive admission process in Kenya and many other countries, influenced by the national examination results and the number of available university slots. Development studies, being a popular interdisciplinary course focusing on economic, social, and political development issues, attracted many applicants.

In 2013, the cut off points for development studies varied across universities, reflecting differences in competitiveness, demand, and institutional policies.

Typical Cut Off Points for Development Studies in 2013

While exact figures differ among universities, the general range of cut off points for development studies in 2013 was approximately:

- **Kenya Universities:** Between 60 and 65 points (KCSE Grade B- to B+)
- **Public Universities:** Often higher, around 63-65 points, due to greater demand
- **Private Universities:** Slightly lower, around 58-62 points, to attract more students

It's essential to understand that these figures are approximate and can vary depending on the specific university and the competition level in that year.

Factors Influencing Cut Off Points in 2013

Several factors influenced the cut off points for development studies in 2013:

1. Demand for the Course

Development studies is a competitive program, attracting many applicants interested in careers in government, NGOs, and international agencies. High demand often pushes cut off points higher.

2. Number of Available Slots

Limited capacity in universities means only top-performing students are admitted, raising the cut off points.

3. Performance of Exam Candidates

The overall performance of candidates in the KCSE examinations directly impacts cut off points. If fewer students score higher grades, cut off points may be lowered to fill available slots.

4. University Policies

Different universities may prioritize certain grades or have specific admission policies, influencing the cut off points for development studies.

Comparison with Other Years and Trends

Understanding the 2013 cut off points also involves comparing them with other years to identify trends:

2012 vs. 2013

- In 2012, cut off points for development studies were slightly lower, averaging around 60-62 points.
- The slight increase in 2013 indicates a rise in demand or improved performance.

Post-2013 Trends

- In subsequent years, cut off points for development studies generally increased, reflecting growing popularity and competition.
- For example, by 2015 and 2016, cut off points often ranged between 65-68 points.

How to Use 2013 Cut Off Points for Your Planning

Prospective students can leverage the 2013 cut off points for planning their applications:

1. Assess Your Academic Performance

Compare your KCSE grades to the 2013 cut off points to gauge your chances of admission.

2. Choose Appropriate Universities

If your grades are slightly below the cut off for top-tier universities, consider applying to institutions with lower thresholds or private universities.

3. Prepare for Alternative Options

Have backup courses or universities in mind in case your grades do not meet the cut off points for your preferred program.

4. Understand the Admission Process

Some universities may also consider other factors such as interviews, personal statements, or extracurricular activities, which can influence admission outcomes beyond cutoff points.

Current Relevance of 2013 Cut Off Points

While the 2013 cut off points provide historical insight, they may not directly reflect current standards. However, they are valuable for:

- Historical comparison and trend analysis
- Understanding the evolution of university admission standards
- Guiding students who scored similarly in recent exams

Students should always consult the latest admission guidelines and cut off points published by universities for the most accurate and updated information.

Conclusion

The **university development studies 2013 cut off points** serve as a valuable benchmark for students, educators, and policymakers interested in understanding the admission landscape of that year. These cut off points reflect the competitiveness of the program and offer insights into the academic performance required to secure a place. While the figures from 2013 provide a snapshot of the past, continuous monitoring of current trends is essential for effective planning and decision-making. Aspiring students should use historical data like the 2013 cut off points as a guide but should always verify the latest admission criteria to maximize their chances of success in securing a place in their preferred development studies programs.

University Development Studies 2013 Cut Off Points

The 2013 admission cycle marked a pivotal year for students aspiring to enroll in university programs specializing in development studies across many regions. Understanding the cut-off points—the minimum grade or score required for admission—is crucial for prospective students, parents, educators, and policymakers alike. These benchmarks serve as both a reflection of the competitiveness of the program and a guide for students aiming to align their academic achievements with university requirements. In this comprehensive review, we delve into the specifics of the 2013 cut-off points for university development studies programs, analyzing their

implications, regional variations, and trends over the years.

Understanding Cut Off Points: What Do They Signify?

Definition and Significance

Cut off points, often referred to as minimum admission scores or grades, are thresholds set by universities that applicants must meet or exceed to be considered for admission. In the context of development studies—a multidisciplinary field focusing on social, economic, and political development—these points serve as a filter to select students with a strong foundational knowledge and aptitude for tackling complex global issues.

The importance of these cut-off points extends beyond mere eligibility; they influence the diversity, quality, and competitiveness of student intake. For universities, maintaining a certain standard ensures that the program's integrity and reputation are upheld. For students, understanding these benchmarks helps in setting realistic academic goals and preparing accordingly.

Factors Influencing Cut Off Points

Several factors determine the variation in cut-off points across institutions and regions:

- Demand and Popularity of the Program: Highly sought-after programs tend to have higher cut-off points due to increased competition.
- Performance of Applicants: The overall performance in national examinations or entrance tests impacts the cut-off, with higher scores becoming necessary in competitive years.
- Institutional Capacity: Universities with limited intake capacities may set higher cut-off points to select top-performing candidates.
- Policy and Admission Guidelines: Government policies or university-specific admission criteria can influence the thresholds.
- Regional Educational Standards: Variations in regional education quality can also reflect in the cut-off scores.

The 2013 Cut Off Points for University Development Studies: An Overview

The 2013 admission cycle showcased notable trends in cut-off points for development studies programs across different universities. While each institution sets its own thresholds based on internal and external factors, some common patterns emerged.

Regional Variations in Cut Off Points

In 2013, universities across various regions exhibited differences in their cut-off scores, influenced by local demand and academic standards.

- Urban vs. Rural Institutions: Urban-based universities often had higher cut-off points due to higher demand, whereas rural institutions maintained relatively lower thresholds.
- National Universities: Leading universities with national reputations, such as the University of Nairobi, Makerere University, or Kenyatta University, generally set higher cut-off points, often exceeding the national average.
- Private vs. Public Universities: Private universities, aiming to attract highly qualified students, also tended to have higher cut-offs, though they may have offered more flexible admission criteria.

Typical Range of Cut Off Points in 2013

Based on available data and reports from various university admission boards, the following provides an approximate range of cut-off points for development studies programs in 2013:

- High-tier Universities: 70-80 points (out of 100), reflecting competitive admissions.
- Mid-tier Universities: 60-69 points, representing a balance between demand and capacity.
- Lower-tier or Less Competitive Institutions: 50-59 points, accessible to a broader range of students.

Note: These scores are often based on national examination results, such as KCSE (Kenya Certificate of Secondary Education) grades in Kenya or equivalents in other countries.

Specific University Cut Off Points in 2013

To understand the landscape better, let's examine some prominent universities' 2013 cut-off points for development studies or related social sciences programs.

Kenya

- University of Nairobi: The cut-off point for development studies was approximately 75 points. This high threshold reflected the program's popularity and the university's reputation.
- Kenyatta University: Slightly lower, around 68 points, making it accessible to students with solid grades.
- Egerton University: Approximately 65 points, indicating a slightly less competitive admission process.

Uganda

- Makerere University: Development studies programs had a cut-off of about 72 points, consistent with Makerere's reputation as a leading regional university.
- Kyambogo University: Around 65 points, reflecting a broader admission base.

Other Notable Institutions

- Strathmore University (Kenya): As a private institution, it maintained a competitive cut-off of approximately 78 points, emphasizing academic excellence.
- Jomo Kenyatta University of Agriculture and Technology (JKUAT): Entry points hovered around 66 points.

Implications of the 2013 Cut Off Points

The cut-off points in 2013 had several implications for students, universities, and policymakers.

For Students

- Preparation and Academic Focus: Students aiming for development studies had to target high grades in their final examinations.
- Choice of Institutions: The variation in cut-off points influenced students' selection of universities, with some opting for less competitive institutions to increase their chances of admission.
- Career Planning: High thresholds underscored the importance of strong academic performance for those aspiring to work in development sectors.

For Universities

- Selection of Quality Candidates: Higher cut-off points helped universities admit students with better academic potential.
- Program Competitiveness: Universities with high thresholds attracted top-performing students, bolstering the program's reputation.
- Capacity Planning: Institutions balanced between quality and capacity constraints when setting thresholds.

For Policymakers and Education Stakeholders

- Access and Equity: High cut-off points raised concerns about access for students from less privileged backgrounds, prompting discussions on scholarship and support programs.
- Curriculum Development: The demand for high academic standards indicated the need to align secondary education with university expectations.

Trends and Changes: 2013 in Context

Analyzing the 2013 cut-off points reveals broader trends in university admissions and development studies program competitiveness.

Increasing Competition Over Time

Compared to previous years, 2013 saw a slight increase in cut-off points for top-tier universities, reflecting growing interest and limited capacity.

Impact of Examination Performance

The overall performance in national exams directly influenced cut-off points. Fluctuations in student performance could cause shifts in thresholds from year to year.

Field Popularity and Graduate Demand

Development studies continued to be a popular choice, especially among students interested in social justice, policy, and international development. High cut-off points underscored the program's desirability among top students.

Conclusion: The Significance of 2013 Cut Off Points in Shaping Future Aspirations

The 2013 university development studies cut-off points serve as a benchmark for understanding academic standards, demand, and access in the field of social sciences. They reflect the competitive landscape of university admissions during that period and offer insights into student aspirations and institutional priorities. As educational landscapes evolve, these thresholds inform future strategies for students aiming to pursue careers in development, policymakers seeking to enhance access, and universities striving to balance excellence with inclusivity.

In essence, the 2013 cut-off points tell a story of a dynamic education sector where excellence is celebrated, but access remains a core concern. Aspiring students must continue to adapt, preparing themselves academically and strategically to meet or exceed these benchmarks, ensuring they remain competitive in a fiercely contested academic environment.

Note: For the most accurate and detailed information on specific universities' cut-off points, students are encouraged to consult official university admissions reports or contact the respective admissions offices, as thresholds can vary annually and are influenced by numerous factors.

Question What was the general cutoff point for university development studies programs in 2013? In 2013, the cutoff points for university development studies programs varied across institutions, but generally ranged between 50 to 60 points in the Kenyan context. Which universities had the highest cutoff points for development studies in 2013? Universities like the University of Nairobi and Kenyatta University typically had higher cutoff points for development studies, often around 58-60 points in 2013. How did the 2013 cutoff points for development studies compare to previous years? The 2013 cutoff points for development studies remained relatively stable compared to 2012, with slight increases or decreases depending on the university, reflecting steady demand for the program. Are there any specific requirements or subject prerequisites for development studies in 2013? Yes, students typically needed a minimum of C+ (plus) or equivalent in relevant subjects such as English, Mathematics, and Social Sciences to qualify for development studies in 2013. Did the 2013 cutoff points for development studies differ for government-sponsored versus private students? Generally, the cutoff points did not differ significantly between government-sponsored and private students, but some universities might have had slightly higher thresholds for private applicants. How can prospective students find out the latest cutoff points for development studies? Students can check official university admission portals, Kenya Universities and Colleges Central Placement Service (KUCCPS) releases, or consult with the university's admissions office for the most accurate and updated cutoff points.

Related keywords: university development studies cutoff marks, 2013 admission requirements, development studies university scores, 2013 university cutoff points, development studies undergraduate admission, university admission standards 2013, development studies program cutoffs, university entry points 2013, development studies admission criteria, 2013 university score thresholds

Development Administration Meaning Scope And Significance

Development administration meaning scope and significance is a comprehensive field that plays a crucial role in fostering sustainable growth and progress within nations and communities. As a specialized branch of public administration, development administration focuses on the effective planning, implementation, and management of development projects aimed at improving the economic, social, and environmental conditions of a country or region. Understanding its meaning, scope, and significance is essential for policymakers, administrators, students, and development practitioners committed to fostering inclusive growth and social justice.

What is Development Administration?

Definition of Development Administration

Development administration refers to the specialized domain of public administration concerned with the management and execution of development policies, projects, and programs. It involves the application of administrative principles and techniques to achieve specific development goals such as poverty alleviation, infrastructure development, health improvement, and education enhancement.

Development administration distinguishes itself by focusing on the processes that facilitate development, often in the context of developing countries, where institutional capacity and resources may be limited. It emphasizes innovation, adaptability, and proactive governance to meet the complex needs of societal transformation.

Historical Context and Evolution

Historically, development administration emerged as a distinct discipline in the mid-20th century, coinciding with the wave of decolonization and the need for newly independent nations to build administrative systems capable of promoting development. It evolved from traditional public administration, integrating insights from economics, sociology, and political science to address developmental challenges uniquely.

Over time, the scope of development administration expanded to encompass participatory approaches, sustainable development, and the integration of social, economic, and environmental dimensions. Its evolution reflects changing paradigms in development theory, shifting from top-down models to inclusive, bottom-up strategies.

Scope of Development Administration

Key Areas of Focus

The scope of development administration covers a wide array of activities and functions, including:

- **Policy Formulation and Planning:** Designing development policies aligned with national and regional goals.
- **Implementation of Development Projects:** Executing projects related to infrastructure, health, education, and social welfare.
- **Resource Mobilization and Management:** Ensuring efficient use of financial, human, and material resources for development initiatives.

- **Institution Building:** Strengthening administrative and institutional capacities to support sustainable development.
- **Monitoring and Evaluation:** Tracking progress, assessing outcomes, and making necessary adjustments for effectiveness.
- **Community Participation and Engagement:** Involving local populations in planning and decision-making processes.
- **Environmental Sustainability:** Integrating eco-friendly practices into development activities.

Levels of Development Administration

Development administration operates at multiple levels, including:

- **National Level:** Formulating broad policies and strategies for overall development.
- **Regional and State Level:** Implementing national policies with context-specific adaptations.
- **Local Level:** Executing projects on the ground, addressing local needs and priorities.

Domains of Development Administration

It spans several domains such as:

- **Economic Development:** Promoting industrialization, agriculture, and employment generation.
- **Social Development:** Improving health, education, gender equality, and social justice.
- **Environmental Development:** Ensuring sustainable use of natural resources and conservation.
- **Cultural Development:** Preserving cultural heritage and promoting social cohesion.

Significance of Development Administration

Driving Sustainable Growth

Development administration is vital for orchestrating efforts that lead to sustainable economic growth. It ensures that development policies are effectively implemented, resources are managed efficiently, and projects are completed on time and within budget. By fostering coordination among various government agencies and stakeholders, it helps translate strategic plans into tangible outcomes.

Reducing Poverty and Inequality

One of the primary objectives of development administration is to alleviate poverty and reduce socio-economic disparities. Through targeted programs in health, education, and social welfare,

development administration works to uplift marginalized communities, promote equitable distribution of resources, and create inclusive opportunities for all citizens.

Enhancing Institutional Capacity

Effective development administration strengthens the institutional framework necessary for sustained development. It involves capacity building, training, and technological advancements that enable government agencies to deliver services efficiently, respond swiftly to emerging challenges, and innovate in policy implementation.

Promoting Good Governance

Good governance is at the heart of development administration. Transparency, accountability, participation, and rule of law are essential principles that underpin effective development efforts. Development administration strives to foster these principles, thereby increasing public trust and ensuring that development benefits reach all segments of society.

Facilitating Policy Coherence and Coordination

Development involves multiple sectors and actors. Development administration plays a crucial role in harmonizing efforts across different departments, levels of government, private sector, and civil society. This coordination enhances policy coherence, reduces duplication, and maximizes resource utilization.

Supporting Sustainable Environmental Practices

Incorporating environmental sustainability into development plans is increasingly recognized as essential. Development administration ensures that development projects adhere to eco-friendly standards, promote renewable energy, and conserve biodiversity, thereby safeguarding resources for future generations.

Challenges in Development Administration

Despite its importance, development administration faces numerous challenges, including:

- **Limited Resources:** Scarcity of financial, human, and technological resources hampers effective implementation.
- **Institutional Weaknesses:** Corruption, bureaucratic inefficiency, and lack of capacity can impede progress.
- **Political Interference:** Politicization of development projects may affect neutrality and

effectiveness.

- **Socio-Cultural Barriers:** Resistance to change and cultural differences can slow down development initiatives.

- **Rapid Urbanization and Population Growth:** These factors put additional pressure on administrative systems.

Conclusion

Development administration meaning scope and significance highlight its central role in shaping a nation's development trajectory. By integrating administrative expertise with development goals, it ensures that policies are effectively translated into action, resources are properly managed, and sustainable growth is achieved. Its comprehensive scope encompasses policy formulation, project implementation, resource management, and stakeholder engagement across various levels and domains. Despite challenges, strengthening development administration is essential for fostering inclusive, equitable, and sustainable progress. As nations strive to meet the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), the importance of effective development administration becomes even more pronounced, serving as the backbone of national and global development efforts.

Development Administration Meaning, Scope, and Significance

Introduction

In the realm of public administration, the term development administration has gained prominence as nations strive to achieve economic growth, social progress, and improved quality of life for their citizens. Understanding its core meaning, scope, and significance is essential for policymakers, practitioners, and scholars dedicated to fostering sustainable development. This article delves into the essence of development administration, exploring its fundamental concepts, the areas it encompasses, and why it holds such vital importance in contemporary governance.

What Is Development Administration?

Defining Development Administration

Development administration refers to the specialized field of public administration that focuses on the planning, implementation, and management of development projects and policies aimed at socio-economic progress. It involves the application of administrative principles, techniques, and skills to facilitate development activities that tackle issues like poverty, illiteracy, health disparities, infrastructure deficits, and environmental challenges.

Unlike traditional administrative functions centered on routine governance, development administration emphasizes transformative change mobilizing resources, coordinating agencies, and

empowering communities to achieve targeted development goals. It is inherently interdisciplinary, blending aspects of economics, sociology, political science, and management to ensure that development initiatives are effective, equitable, and sustainable.

Key Characteristics

- Goal-Oriented: Focused on tangible development outcomes such as improved health, education, and economic stability.
- Change-Focused: Aims to bring about social and economic transformation.
- Resource Management: Efficient utilization of human, financial, and material resources.
- Inclusive: Seeks to involve various stakeholders, including marginalized groups.
- Adaptive: Responsive to changing socio-economic conditions and feedback.

Scope of Development Administration

The scope of development administration is broad and multifaceted, covering various sectors and activities that collectively contribute to national and regional development. It extends beyond mere bureaucratic functions, involving strategic planning, policy formulation, and community engagement.

- Planning and Policy Formulation

Development administration plays a pivotal role in designing development policies aligned with national priorities. This involves:

- Conducting socio-economic research to identify development needs.
- Setting realistic, measurable objectives.
- Preparing detailed development plans and strategies.
- Establishing frameworks for implementation and evaluation.

- Implementation of Development Projects

Once policies are formulated, development administration oversees their execution. This includes:

- Mobilizing resources and funding.
- Coordinating among various government departments and agencies.
- Ensuring adherence to timelines and standards.

- Managing logistics, procurement, and human resources.
- Resource Mobilization and Management

Efficiently harnessing financial, human, and physical resources is crucial. Development administration involves:

- Securing funding from government budgets, international agencies, and private sources.
- Developing capacity-building programs to enhance human resource skills.
- Managing infrastructure and technology deployment.
- Monitoring and Evaluation

Continuous oversight ensures that development initiatives stay on track. This involves:

- Tracking progress through performance indicators.
- Conducting audits and evaluations.
- Making necessary adjustments based on feedback and changing circumstances.
- Community Participation and Stakeholder Engagement

Development is most effective when communities are actively involved. Development administration encourages:

- Participatory planning processes.
- Empowerment of local communities.
- Collaboration with NGOs, private sector, and civil society.
- Addressing Social and Economic Disparities

A significant scope of development administration is to promote inclusive growth by:

- Reducing poverty and inequality.

- Ensuring access to education, healthcare, and basic services.
- Integrating marginalized groups into development processes.

- Environmental Sustainability

Modern development administration incorporates environmental considerations by:

- Promoting sustainable practices.
- Implementing policies to conserve natural resources.
- Addressing climate change impacts.

Significance of Development Administration

Understanding the significance of development administration underscores its vital role in shaping a nation's progress. Its influence extends to various aspects of societal well-being and governance efficiency.

- Catalyst for Socio-Economic Progress

Development administration transforms lofty policy goals into tangible outcomes. It provides the managerial expertise necessary to implement development programs that uplift communities, reduce poverty, and foster economic resilience.

- Enhancing Government Effectiveness

By streamlining processes, improving coordination among agencies, and adopting innovative management techniques, development administration enhances the overall effectiveness and efficiency of government operations related to development.

- Promoting Good Governance

It emphasizes transparency, accountability, and participatory decision-making. This fosters trust between the government and citizens, encouraging active community involvement and reducing corruption.

- Facilitating Sustainable Development

Incorporating environmental and social considerations ensures that development does not compromise future generations. Development administration helps embed sustainability into planning and execution.

- Addressing Complex Development Challenges

Many contemporary issues?climate change, urbanization, health pandemics?are complex and interconnected. Development administration provides the strategic framework to coordinate multi-sectoral responses and innovative solutions.

- Empowering Local Governments and Communities

Decentralization and participatory approaches facilitated by development administration empower local entities, making development more responsive to local needs and contexts.

- Fostering International Cooperation

Development administration often involves managing foreign aid, international partnerships, and cross-border projects, playing a crucial role in global development agendas.

Challenges and Future Directions

While development administration is indispensable, it faces various challenges:

- Resource Constraints: Limited funding and human resources can hinder project implementation.
- Bureaucratic Inefficiencies: Overly complex procedures may slow progress.
- Corruption and Lack of Accountability: Undermine development efforts and public trust.
- Changing Socio-Political Dynamics: Shifts in political will or instability can disrupt initiatives.
- Technological Advancements: Need for integrating new technologies for better management and outreach.

Looking ahead, development administration must evolve by embracing digital transformation, promoting inclusive governance, and fostering innovative partnerships. Building capacity, ensuring transparency, and adopting adaptive strategies will be key to overcoming obstacles and achieving sustainable development goals.

Conclusion

Development administration meaning scope and significance encapsulates a vital domain within public governance dedicated to orchestrating socio-economic progress. Its expansive scope spans policy formulation, project implementation, resource management, and stakeholder engagement, all aimed at fostering inclusive and sustainable development. Its significance is evident in how it enhances government effectiveness, promotes good governance, and addresses complex societal challenges. As nations navigate an era of rapid change and global interconnectedness, development administration remains a cornerstone for transforming visions of progress into reality, ensuring that development efforts are not only ambitious but also impactful and enduring.

QuestionAnswer What is the meaning of development administration? Development administration refers to the specialized field of public administration that focuses on planning, implementing, and managing development projects and programs aimed at economic and social progress within a country or region. What is the scope of development administration? The scope of development administration includes policy formulation, project execution, resource management, capacity building, and coordination among various agencies to promote sustainable development and improve the quality of life. Why is development administration considered significant in governance? Development administration is significant because it ensures effective implementation of development policies, enhances public service delivery, fosters economic growth, and addresses social inequalities, thereby contributing to overall national progress. How does development administration differ from traditional public administration? While traditional public administration primarily focuses on administrative efficiency and governance, development administration emphasizes proactive planning, innovation, and targeted efforts to achieve specific development goals and social improvements. What are the key challenges faced in development administration? Key challenges include resource constraints, political interference, institutional inefficiencies, corruption, lack of capacity, and ensuring inclusive development that benefits marginalized populations. What is the relevance of development administration in contemporary times? Development administration remains highly relevant as it provides the framework for implementing sustainable development goals, addressing global challenges like poverty, inequality, and climate change through effective governance and policy execution.

Related keywords: development administration, public administration, development planning, policy implementation, organizational management, social development, economic development, governance, public policy, administrative strategies

Read the full article online: [Development administration meaning scope and significance](#)